Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	**Application No.** 10/527,821	**Applicant(s)** JANSSENS ET AL.	
	Examiner Noble Jarrell	**Art Unit** 1624	

All Participants:

(1) *Noble Jarrell*.

(2) *Stephanie Barbosa*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *6 March 2008*

Time: *2:00 P.M.*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Barbosa approved to the amendment of the phrase "according to" in claims 11-12 to the word "of". The change is being done because "of" is more appropriate than "according to". In addition, the phrase "more specifically according to formula (ia), formula (ib) or formula ic)," is removed from claim 11.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)